Section 29

Regional Governance Overpowers California

a. Bay Vision 2020 Convenors

b. Bay Vision 2020 Review Draft Report

***c. Bay Vision To See How Solano Looks At Regional Plan - ABAG Committee To Review Regional Gov't Plan*, Kitty Griffin, Staff Writer, Benicia Herald, Benicia, California (07/25/1990)**

***d. Objections Overruled (Bay Vision Decides To Continue Its Push To Create a Regional Agency)*, David Hagerty, Staff Writer, Benicia Herald, Benicia, California (02/28/1991)**

Section 29a

Bay Vision 2020 Convenors

Bay Vision 2020 Convenors

10th Floor, 70 West Hedding Street, San Jose, California 95110

Executive Committee Members
Supervisor Rod Diridon, Chair
Rep. MTC
Supervisor Osby Davis
Rep. BAAQMD
Mayor Kathleen Foote
Rep. Cities of the Bay Region
Supervisor Mary Griffin
Rep. ABAG
Mayor Elihu Harris
Rep. Three Major City Mayors of the Bay Region
Supervisor Tom Nolan
Rep. Counties of the Bay Region

Convenors Committee
Mayor Art Agnos
Rep. San Francisco
Councilmember Jane Baker
Rep. League of California Cities
Supervisor Paul Battisti
Rep. Napa County
Supervisor Sam Caddle
Rep. Solano County
Mayor Graig Crossley
Rep. Cities of Contra Costa County
Mayor David Eck
Rep. Cities of Sonoma County
Supervisor Gary Giacomini
Rep. Marin County
Mayor Susan Hammer
Rep. San Jose
Mayor Roberta Hughan
Rep. Cities of Santa Clara County
Supervisor Mary King
Rep. Alameda County
Councilmember Riho Martinson
Rep. Cities of San Mateo County
Supervisor Dianne McKenna
Rep. Santa Clara County
Mayor Ken Mercer
Rep. Cities of Alameda County
Supervisor Janet Nicholas
Rep. Sonoma County
Supervisor Tom Powers
Rep. Contra Costa County
Mayor Jerry Rubier
Rep. Cities of Solano County
Mayor Ed Solomon
Rep. Cities of Napa County
Supervisor Doris Ward
Rep. San Francisco County

TO: BAY VISION 2020 Convenors and Others of the Region's Elected Officials

FROM: Rod Diridon, Chair
BAY VISION 2020 Convenor's Executive Committee

DATE: February 25, 1991

A Bay Vision 2020 Convenor's meeting has been scheduled in the MetroCenter Auditorium (corner of Oak and 8th Street in Oakland) on **Monday, March 4 from Noon to 2:00 p.m.** If you are unable to attend, please send an elected delegate to represent you.

Please RSVP to Tamara Clark-Shear at (408) 299-3924.

Bay
Vision
2020
Convenors

10th Floor, 70 West Hedding Street, San Jose, California 95110

FEB 28 1991

Executive Committee Members
Supervisor Rod Diridon, Chair
Rep. MTC
Supervisor Osby Davis
Rep. BAAQMD
Mayor Kathleen Foote
Rep. Cities of the Bay Region
Supervisor Mary Griffin
Rep. ABAG
Mayor Elihu Harris
Rep. Three Major City Mayors of the Bay Region
Supervisor Tom Nolan
Rep. Counties of the Bay Region

Convenors Committee
Mayor Art Agnos
Rep. San Francisco
Councilmember Jane Baker
Rep. League of California Cities
Supervisor Paul Battisti
Rep. Napa County
Supervisor Sam Caddle
Rep. Solano County
Mayor Graig Crossley
Rep. Cities of Contra Costa County
Mayor David Eck
Rep. Cities of Sonoma County
Supervisor Gary Giacomini
Rep. Marin County
Mayor Susan Hammer
Rep. San Jose
Mayor Roberta Hughan
Rep. Cities of Santa Clara County
Supervisor Mary King
Rep. Alameda County
Councilmember Riho Martinson
Rep. Cities of San Mateo County
Supervisor Dianne McKenna
Rep. Santa Clara County
Mayor Ken Mercer
Rep. Cities of Alameda County
Supervisor Janet Nicholas
Rep. Sonoma County
Supervisor Tom Powers
Rep. Contra Costa County
Mayor Jerry Rubier
Rep. Cities of Solano County
Mayor Ed Solomon
Rep. Cities of Napa County
Supervisor Doris Ward
Rep. San Francisco County

TO: Bay Vision 2020 Convenors and Others of the Region's Elected Officials

FROM: Rod Diridon, Chair
Bay Vision 2020 Convenor's Executive Committee

SUBJECT: BAY VISION 2020 RECOMMENDATIONS

DATE: February 25, 1991

The Bay Vision 2020 Convenors' Executive Committee has met several times in the last few months to discuss the report being prepared by the Bay Vision 2020 Commission. The Convenors sincerely appreciate the work of the Bay Vision 2020 Commission members and consider their product to be a very important step in the evolution of regional government within our region. The Bay Vision 2020 Convenors' Executive Committee took action at our meeting of February 13 to recommend the following to the Bay Vision 2020 Commission and encourage your support of similar action:

1. The goals of the Commission were endorsed unanimously.

2. The merger of the three regional agencies (Association of Bay Area Governments, Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District) was endorsed unanimously.

3. The use of the phasing concept, which would feature a Bay Region Interim Council replacing the current three regional boards and working for four years to recommend legislation that would frame the permanent regional government board structure, responsibilities and powers was also endorsed unanimously.

4. The so called "interim land use veto" to be exercised by the Bay Region Interim Council was endorsed with the recommendation that it only be applied to projects in communities that had an excess of "jobs" over available "housing". In addition, it is recommended that the veto only be applied to very large projects that would have unmitigatable impact outside the jurisdiction within which the project was being approved. Also, the vetowould be applied only to industrial and commercial job-creating projects and not to housing projects since the region has a housing shortage. And, finally, it was recommended the veto might be applied also to major annexations of lands that might, after the four-year interim period, be master planned ultimately as permanently undeveloped "greenbelt" areas. Very clearly, the intent of these recommendations is to stop very large, potentially offensive, industrial and commercial job creating projects. The intent is not to prohibit the development of housing and, very certainly, not to cause the development of any project in any community. That action was taken with one dissenting vote of the Executive Committee.

5. The Executive Committee concurred with several local government groups that, under no circumstances, would non-locally elected officials be allowed to sit as members of the Bay Region Interim Council. In addition, the attached "Triparty Appointment Concept" would be recommended to the Bay Vision 2020 Commission. That appointing procedure has the advantage of allowing at least two representatives from even the smallest counties while allowing the larger jurisdictions to have representation in proportion to their population. This yields a 33 member Bay Region Interim Council which is small enough to be functional yet large enough to provide the committee members necessary to staff the many functional committees currently conducting important work within the existing regional organizations. Though there was unanimous and very adamant opposition to non-elected officials on the regional council, the third page of the attachment identified the preferred approach to be used if non-elected delegates are unavoidable. This action was taken with one dissenting vote of the Bay Vision 2020 Convenors' Executive Committee.

The Bay Vision 2020 Commission has recommended that the issue of future tax base sharing, because of its complexity, be addressed by the Interim Council during the four year interim period and not be decided upon prior to the creation of the Interim Council. Please give this information your most serious consideration for an early endorsement. The Bay Vision 2020 Commission will be developing its final recommendations through the first week of march and welcome your comments. The additional issues of phasing in the interim regional board, the development of sub-regional planning areas and other important issues are under discussion now and will be debated further. We sincerely hope that the Bay Vision 2020 Commission's recommendations can be implemented during this legislative year since the issue could tend to become quite a distraction to our regional efforts toward air pollution control, transportation system development and land use planning.

The members of the Executive Committee join me in inviting your calls to discuss the matter more fully and are looking forward to a meeting of the full Bay Vision 2020 Convenors on Monday, March 4, 1991 at noon in the MetroCenter Auditorium in Oakland to discuss the matter more fully.

Attachments

BAY REGION INTERIM COUNCIL
FOR
BAY VISION 2020
TRIPARTY APPOINTMENT CONCEPT

COUNTIES	MAJOR CITIES	COUNCIL OF CITIES
(This category represents the duties, services and environment associated with county governments.)	(This category represents the duties, services and environment associated with cities of over 250,000 population.)	(This category represents the duties, services and environment associated with city jurisdictions, but outside of the major cities boundaries.)
Pop.* Delegates***	Pop.* Delegates***	Pop.* Delegates***
up to 500 = 1	250 to 500 = 1	up to 500 = 1
up to 1,000 = 2	up to 1,000 = 2	up to 1,000 = 2
up to 1,500 = 3	up to 1,500 = 3	up to 1,500 = 3
up to 2,000 = 4	**etc.	**etc.
** etc.		
	(Appointed by the Mayor with the approval of the City Council, or for San Francisco, the Board of Supervisors.)	(Appointed by the County Mayor's Conference or Council without the major City mayor, if one, voting.)
(Appointed by the Board of Supervisors)		

Note: * = The population numbers are in thousands, add 000.

** = The population totals will be adjusted whenever an official, new population estimate is published for the region.

*** = The delegates shall be locally elected city councilmembers or Board of Supervisors serving within the jurisdiction being represented.

Representation Distribution

for

Triparty Appointment Concept

The following representation distribution would occur when the Triparty Appointment Concept is applied to the current city and county population figures being used by the federal government for grant subvention purposes.

County	Census	Delegates		County	Census	Delegates
1. ALC	1,279	3	5.	SFC	724	2
ACC		2		SF City		2
OAK		1		SF CT		4
AC Total		6				
			6.	SMC	650	2
2. CCC	804	2		SMCC		2
CCCC		2		SMCT		4
CCCT		4				
			7.	SCC	1,498	3
3. MC	230	1		SCCC		2
MCC		1		SJ		2
MCT		2		SCCT		7
4. NC	711	1	8.	SolC	340	1
NCC		1		SolC.C.		1
NCT		2		SolCT		2
			9.	Son.C	388	1
				SonCC		1
				SonCT		2

This concept yields a total of 33 delegates.

NON-LOCALLY ELECTED APPOINTEES

Opposition exists among a distinct majority of the locally-elected officials in the Bay Region to having non-locally elected individuals appointed to serve on the Bay Region Interim Council. But, if it is concluded, after careful consideration that some number of non-elected citizen electors should be appointed to the Council, the following two concepts might be considered.

1. One (or two if as many as six are desired) electors could be appointed by each of the Governor, Speaker of the Assembly, and President Pro Tempore of the State Senate.

OR

2. From one to as many as nine (one from each county) might be appointed by Board of Supervisors of each county from a list of nominees (3) provided by that county's Mayor's committee. If fewer than nine appointees is desired by this process, a rotation system between the counties can be devised.

Note: If non-locally elected appointees are to be included, all care should be exercised to use these appointees to improve the racial and ethnic balance of the Bay Region Interim Council.

(Bay Vision 2020 Convenors' Executive Committee strongly opposes the appointment of non-locally elected officials to the Bay Region Iterim Council. But if non-locally elected appointees are unavoidable, the second alternative above rather than the first would be considered preferential.)